

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,636	07/03/2003	Bhargava K. Yenduri	SUNMP459	4610
32291 7:	590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			HOMAYOUNMEHR, FARID	
SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2132	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,636	YENDURI, BHARGAVA K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Farid Homayounmehr	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>ly 2003</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Not Oracidad ways & PPL FF	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-26 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449

1. No Information Disclosure Statement was submitted by the applicant. Wrong

APPlication # (See The Servel # FIDS)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1 to 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rowland (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0129264 A1, filed January 10, 2002).
- 3.1. As per claim 1, Rowland is directed to a computer system comprising: a processor; a memory storage unit; an operating system comprising a kernel, said kernel comprising a plurality of kernel modules, said kernel modules comprising signature information; and a kernel module signature verification system for verifying said kernel

Art Unit: 2132

module signature information of each of said plurality of kernel modules as said plurality of kernel modules are loaded into said kernel (paragraph 149 describes a Loadable Kernel Module Agent 1306, which is an agent looking for loadable kernels and verifies their validity. As shown in Fig. 13, item 1306 is one of the agents in the group of Mobile Autonomous Code (MAC) Security Agents. Another one of the MAC agents is Known Intrusion Agent 1305 (paragraph 148), which uses signatures to identify intrusions such as suspect loadable kernel modules).

- 3.2. As per claim 2, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 1, wherein said kernel module signature information is generated via a public key and a private key compilation in said kernel module (use of public and private keys to create a signature verification protocol is well-known in the art).
- 3.3. As per claims 3 and 4, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 2, wherein said kernel module signature information comprises signature length data unique to each of said plurality of kernel modules, said signature length or size data used by said kernel module signature verification system in uniquely identifying each of said plurality of kernel modules (the signature verification process generates the signature of data and compares it with the signature. The generated signature and the signature must be identical, which means the length and size of the generated signature and the signature must also be identical).

Art Unit: 2132

3.4. As per claim 5, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 4, wherein said kernel module signature verification system comprises a kernel cryptographic framework for verifying said kernel module signature information (paragraph 132).

- 3.5. As per claim 6, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 5, wherein said kernel module signature verification system further comprises a kernel cryptographic framework daemon for performing verification lookup operations of signature information provided to said kernel cryptographic framework in said kernel (paragraph 153 shows use of system daemons to run a software process).
- 3.6. As per claim 7, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 6, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework daemon further performs module verification of said plurality of kernel modules (see response to claim 6).
- 3.7. As per claim 8, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 7, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework retrieves pathname information of said signature information for each of said plurality of kernel modules when said plurality of kernel modules attempt to load up to said kernel to perform cryptographic operations (retrieving the pathname information is part of a typical access process in a computer. When the signature is fetched from the memory to the cryptographic process, it is accessed by its pathname.)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,636 Page 5

Art Unit: 2132

3.8. As per claim 9, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 8, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework comprises a cryptographic service provider registration unit for registering each of said plurality of kernel modules wishing to provide cryptographic services in said kernel (per paragraph 29, all agents and processes of Rowland register with a module that oversees their operation).

- 3.9. As per claim 10, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 9, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework further comprises a intra-kernel communication unit for enabling communications between said kernel cryptographic framework and said kernel cryptographic framework daemon (paragraph 29 suggests a Master Control Process which is a communication unit allowing elements of the system to communicate with one another.).
- 3.10. As per claim 11, Rowland is directed to the computer system of claim 10, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework further comprises a data structure unit for storing said kernel module signature information (Rowland agents access to many different kinds of data, including signature data. Use of datastructures in computer systems to provide data to processes is well-known in the art).
- 3.11. Limitations of claims 12-22, 25-33, 35 and 36 are substantially the same as claims 1-11 above.

Art Unit: 2132

3.12. As per claim 23, Rowland is directed to the computer operating system of claim 22, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework and said kernel cryptographic framework daemon communicate via a plurality of input/output control commands (paragraphs 29-31 describes how handlers communicate with one another to exchange messages. The messages contain commands to initiate the functionality of each handler).

- 3.13. As per claim 24, Rowland is directed to the computer operating system of claim 23, wherein said input/output control commands comprise a door create command for creating a plurality of cryptographic doors for enabling communication between said kernel cryptographic framework and said kernel cryptographic framework daemon (paragraph 87 discloses use of secured messaging between different elements of the system).
- 3.14. As per claim 34, Rowland is directed to the method of claim 33, wherein said kernel cryptographic framework daemon verifies signature data contained in each of said plurality of kernel cryptographic modules after said requesting kernel module has registered with said kernel cryptographic framework (Rowland verifies validity of files, messages and other data using the signature handler (paragraph 124-127). The signature handler verifies signatures used by the Loadable Kernel Module Agent 1306, or Known Intrusion Agent 1305 to detect unauthorized Kernel modules).

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Farid Homayounmehr whose telephone number is 571

272 3739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hrs Mon-Fri, off Monday

biweekly.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Farid Homayounmehr

Examiner

Art Unit: 2132

Art Unit: 2132